Liraglutide (Victoza®) National PBM Drug Monograph

VA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services, Medical Advisory Panel, and VISN Pharmacist Executives

The purpose of VACO PBM-SHG drug monographs is to provide a comprehensive drug review for making formulary decisions. These documents will be updated when new data warrant additional formulary discussion. Documents will be placed in the Archive section when the information is deemed to be no longer current.

Executive Summary

- Liraglutide is a glucagon-like peptide-1(GLP-1) agonist approved for use in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus.
- > Liraglutide is not recommended as first-line therapy for patients inadequately controlled on diet and exercise.
- There are 6 published Phase 3 trials (LEAD trials).
 - o Liraglutide + glimepiride vs. rosiglitazone + glimepiride vs. placebo + glimepiride (26-weeks)
 - O Liraglutide + metformin vs. glimepiride + metformin vs. placebo + metformin (26-weeks)
 - o Liraglutide vs. glimepiride (52-weeks)
 - o Liraglutide + metformin + rosiglitazone vs. placebo + metformin + rosiglitazone (26-weeks)
 - Liraglutide + metformin + glimepiride vs. insulin glargine + metformin + glimepiride vs. placebo + metformin + glimepiride (26-weeks)
 - o Liraglutide + oral hypoglycemic agents vs. exenatide + oral hypoglycemic agents (26-weeks)

And 1 published trial that is not part of the LEAD trials

- Liraglutide + metformin vs. sitagliptin + metformin (26-weeks)
- Liraglutide has not been studied in combination with insulin.
- Liraglutide is administered once daily as a subcutaneous injection.
- ➤ On average, liraglutide reduces A1C by 0.8-1.1% when used as monotherapy or as part of a 2-drug regimen. When used as part of a 3-drug regimen, average reduction in A1C was 1.3-1.5%. There is little to no difference between the 1.2mg and 1.8mg dose of liraglutide. The addition of liraglutide versus insulin glargine (average dose 24 units/day) to metformin + SU resulted in a mean decrease in A1C of 1.3% and 1.1% respectively. Liraglutide reduced A1C by 1.1% compared to 0.8% with exenatide when either drug was combined with oral hypoglycemic agents. For those with inadequate glycemic control on metformin monotherapy, mean A1C was reduced by 1.2 and 1.5% for the 1.2mg and 1.8mg doses respectively.
- Mean weight loss ranged from 2-2.8kg when liraglutide was used as monotherapy or combined with metformin. The weight loss benefit was mitigated when liraglutide was combined with a SU. Mean weight loss ranged from 1.0-1.8kg when liraglutide was used as triple therapy with metformin + SU or metformin + rosiglitazone. In the liraglutide versus insulin glargine study, patients lost an average of 1.8kg with liraglutide and gained an average of 1.6kg with insulin glargine. There was no significant difference in mean weight loss between liraglutide and exenatide.
- > There are 3 extension trials showing that improvement in glycemic parameters and weight loss was maintained.
- Liraglutide is contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of medullary thyroid carcinoma or in patients with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia syndrome type 2.
- The most common adverse events were GI-related which occurred more frequently in the liraglutide groups (41%) compared to the comparator groups (17%). Adverse events included nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, dyspepsia and constipation. The frequency of events tended to be dose-related and lessened over time.

- ➤ Hypoglycemia was uncommon and the majority of cases considered minor. Hypoglycemia occurred more often when combined with a sulfonylurea. Therefore, the manufacturer suggests reducing the dose of concomitantly-administered insulin secretagogues to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia. Interestingly there was no difference in frequency of minor events between liraglutide and insulin glargine; however, there were 6 major events in the liraglutide group and none in the glargine group. In the liraglutide versus exenatide trial there were fewer events in the liraglutide group (1.93 vs. 2.6 events/pt-year).
- Pancreatitis has been reported with other incretin drugs. In the LEAD trials, the rate of pancreatitis in the liraglutide and comparator groups was 2.2 versus 0.6 cases per 1000 patient-years respectively. Some patients had other risk factors for pancreatitis (e.g., history of cholelithiasis, alcohol abuse). Liraglutide has not been adequately studied in patients with a history of a pancreatitis.
- ➤ C-cell hyperplasia and neoplasia were seen in pre-clinical studies using rodents; however, these findings were not observed in monkeys. C-cells comprise a very small fraction of the thyroid in humans, but are abundant in rodents. The function of the C-cell is to synthesize and release calcitonin Because of the preclinical findings in rodents, calcitonin levels were monitored in the Phase 3 clinical trials.
 - Mean serum calcitonin levels were within normal limits during treatment for all treatment groups. In trials with measurements out to 5-6 months, a shift from normal calcitonin value to above the upper limit of the reference range occurred in 1.9% of liraglutide 1.8mg groups and 0.8-1.1% in the liraglutide 0.6mg, 1.2mg, and comparator groups. In trials with calcitonin measurements out to 12 months, 1.3% (1.8mg), 0.6% (1.2mg), 0 (placebo), and 1.0% (active-comparator) had values that increased to outside the upper limit.
 - O There were 6 reports of C-cell hyperplasia among the patients in the LEAD trials (5 liraglutide; 1 active comparator). In the liraglutide groups, 4 out 5 had elevated calcitonin levels at baseline and throughout the studies and 1 developed an increased level while on therapy.
 - The rates of benign thyroid neoplasms were 7.0 and 2.5 events/1000-patient years for liraglutide and non-liraglutide groups respectively. Papillary thyroid cancer was diagnosed in 5 liraglutide-treated patients and in 1 patient in the comparator group (1.6 and 0.6 events/1000-patient years for liraglutide and non-liraglutide groups respectively). There was 1 case of medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) reported in a patient from a comparator group. This patient had a pre-treatment calcitonin level > 1000ng/ml.
 - Post-marketing requirements include: 5-year prospective epidemiological study to determine incidence of thyroid cancer among patients exposed to liraglutide; medullary thyroid carcinoma case series registry for at least 15years; additional studies in mice to evaluate potential risk of MTC in humans.
- As part of the Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (REMS) Program, a medication guide is required to be dispensed with each liraglutide prescription to inform providers and patients about the risk of acute pancreatitis and the potential risk of medullary thyroid carcinoma.
- Across all 3 doses of liraglutide, approximately 8-9% of patients were positive for liraglutide antibodies. The presence of antibodies did not appear to alter the glucose-lowering effect or the likelihood of having an immunologic reaction.
- ➤ The FDA determined there was no evidence of excess cardiovascular risk associated with liraglutide. However, the FDA is requiring that a post-marketing study be conducted. A 5-year trial is planned to evaluate cardiovascular outcomes with liraglutide in a higher risk population. The trial is expected to be completed September 2015 and submission of the complete report in April 2016.

- Liraglutide has a low potential for drug interactions via the cytochrome P450 pathways or interactions involving protein binding. Because liraglutide delays gastric emptying, there is a potential that co-administered oral drugs may be affected.
- > The acquisition cost of liraglutide exceeds that of exenatide, the DPP-4 inhibitors, and TZDs (Table 9).

Introduction

Incretins such as glucagon-like peptide-1(GLP-1) are naturally occurring hormones released from the GI tract in response to the ingestion of food. Meal-stimulated circulating levels of GLP-1 are reduced in type 2 diabetes. Liraglutide is the second agent in a class known as incretin mimetics.

Pharmacology/ Pharmacokinetics

GLP-1 is released from the L-cells located in the distal ileum and colon, in response to food containing carbohydrates and fats. Incretins enhance glucose-dependent insulin secretion from the pancreas, suppress inappropriately elevated glucagon secretion, delay gastric emptying, reduce appetite, preserve β -cell function, and increase β -cell mass. Incretins do not suppress normal counter-regulatory increase in glucagon secretion during hypoglycemia.

GLP-1 has a plasma half-life of approximately 2 minutes; therefore, its utility as a pharmacologic agent is limited. Dipeptidyl peptase-4 (DPP-4) is the enzyme responsible for metabolizing GLP-1. Liraglutide is a human GLP-1 analog with a longer half-life than native GLP-1. Liraglutide has 97% homology to the amino acid sequence of native GLP-1. The structure of native GLP-1 has been modified; replacing lysine with arginine at position 34 and attaching a C16 fatty acid chain to lysine at position 26.

Table 1: Pharmacokinetics of Liraglutide

Absolute bioavailability following SQ injection	~ 55%			
Cmax	35 ng/mL (after 0.6mg given SQ)			
Tmax (median)	8-12h (after 0.6mg given SQ)			
AUC	960 ng·h /mL(after 0.6mg given SQ)			
Volume of distribution	13L (after 0.6mg given SQ) 0.07L (after IV administration)			
Metabolism/elimination	metabolized by endogenous peptidases without a specific organ as a major route of elimination			
Clearance	1.2 L/h after single dose			
Elinination half-life (t1/2)	13h			
Protein binding	>98% (primarily to albumin)			

Data obtained from product package insert

FDA-Approved Indications

Liraglutide is indicated as an adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Important Limitations of Use:

- Not recommended as first-line therapy for patients inadequately controlled on diet and exercise
- Has not been studied sufficiently in patients with a history of pancreatitis. Use caution
- Not for treatment of type 1 diabetes mellitus or diabetic ketoacidosis.
- Has not been studied in combination with insulin.

Current VA Formulary Alternatives

None in this class

Dosage and Administration

- Administer once daily at any time of day, independently of meals
- Inject subcutaneously in the abdomen, thigh or upper arm
- The injection site and timing can be changed without dose adjustment

- Initiate at 0.6 mg per day for one week. This dose is intended to reduce gastrointestinal symptoms during initial titration, and is not effective for glycemic control. After one week, increase the dose to 1.2 mg. If the 1.2 mg dose does not result in acceptable glycemic control, the dose can be increased to 1.8 mg
- When initiating liraglutide, consider reducing the dose of concomitantly-administered insulin secretagogues to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia
- No dosage adjustment is recommended for patients with renal or hepatic impairment. Because of limited
 experience cautious use in these patient populations is advised. The product labeling in the EU recommends
 that liraglutide currently cannot be recommended for use in moderate-severe renal impairment (including
 ESRD) and hepatic failure due to limited experience.

Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (REMS) Program

A medication guide is required to be dispensed with each liraglutide prescription. The goals are to:

- Inform providers about the risk of acute pancreatitis and the potential risk of medullary thyroid carcinoma associated with liraglutide
- Inform patients about the serious risks associated with liraglutide

Dosage Form/Strength

Liraglutide is available as a solution for subcutaneous injection in a pre-filled, multi-dose pen. Each pen delivers doses of 0.6 mg, 1.2 mg, or 1.8 mg (6 mg/mL, 3 mL). It is available in packages containing 2 pens and 3 pens. Prior to first use, liraglutide should be refrigerated (36°F to 46°F). Once in use, liraglutide can be stored for 30 days at room temperature (59°F to 86°F) or in a refrigerator.

Efficacy

Glycemic control(A1C, fasting and post-prandial glucose)^{1-6, 15}

Liraglutide reduced A1C as shown in Table 3. The mean baseline A1C in the clinical trials ranged from 8.2 to 8.5%. Duration of diabetes ranged from 5.4 to 9.4 years. In the combination trials, the majority of patients were receiving prior combination therapy. Those entering the trial on prior monotherapy had a greater decrease in A1C than those on prior combination therapy as were those previously treated with diet and exercise only. Similar to other drugs used to treat diabetes, the decrease in A1C is greater for those who had a baseline A1C of \geq 10%. There was very little difference in A1C reduction between the 1.2mg and 1.6mg doses.

For patients with inadequate glycemic control on their prestudy oral drugs, the combination of liraglutide + glimepiride resulted in a greater decrease in mean A1C than rosiglitazone + glimepiride (-1.1 vs. -0.44%). The dose of rosiglitazone was 4mg daily and was not titrated to the maximum of 8mg daily. In LEAD-2, mean A1C was reduced to a similar extent (-1.0%) by liraglutide + metformin or glimepiride + metformin.

In LEAD-3, monotherapy with liraglutide resulted in a greater mean decrease in A1C than monotherapy with glimepiride. In LEAD-4, triple therapy with liraglutide + metformin + rosiglitazone decreased mean A1C by 1.5% compared to a 0.5% decrease with a 2-drug regimen of metformin + rosiglitazone.

LEAD-5 compared the combination of liraglutide or glargine with metformin + glimepiride. The dose of insulin glargine (mean 24 units daily) was titrated using the ATLANTUS protocol¹⁴ (this does not use a treat-to-target method). The mean decrease in A1C was 1.3% with liraglutide and 1.1% with glargine.

The addition of liraglutide or exenatide to background oral antidiabetic drugs (metformin, SU, or both) was compared in LEAD-6. The mean decrease in A1C was 1.1% with liraglutide and 0.8% with exenatide.

In an open-label study of patients who had inadequate glycemic control on metformin, the addition of liraglutide decreased mean A1C by 1.2 and 1.5% for the 1.2mg and 1.8mg doses respectively, while the addition of sitagliptin 100mg reduced mean A1C by 0.9%. The greater reduction in A1C with liraglutide in this study compared to the

study by Nauck (LEAD-2) might be because in the LEAD-2 study, more than 60% of patients were on prior 2-drug therapy and had a longer duration of DM whereas in the Pratley study, patients had to have inadequate glycemic control on metformin monotherapy. Therefore, switching patients from one 2-drug regimen to another 2-drug regimen may not result in as great a reduction in A1C than when a second drug is added to a monotherapy regimen. ¹⁵

Changes in fasting and post-prandial glucose followed a pattern similar to changes in A1C. Decrease in FPG was seen during the first 2 weeks. Post-prandial glucose was reduced similarly after each meal. In LEAD-6, liraglutide reduced FPG to a greater degree than exenatide; conversely, exenatide reduced PPG more than liraglutide.

Body Weight 1-6, 15

Average weight loss was approximately 2-2.5kg when liraglutide was given as monotherapy and 2.6-3.8kg when combined with metformin (see table 3). When combined with sulfonylureas, there was a slight increase in mean weight with the 1.2mg dose (+0.3kg) and slight decrease in mean weight with the 1.8mg dose (-0.2kg).

In a triple therapy regimen including liraglutide, metformin, and rosiglitazone, the mean change in weight for the 1.2mg and 1.8mg dose was -1.0kg and -2.0kg respectively. In another trial, triple therapy with liraglutide 1.8mg + metformin + glimepiride resulted in a mean weight change of -1.8kg.

In the liraglutide versus insulin glargine study, patients lost an average of 1.8kg with liraglutide and gained an average of 1.6kg with insulin glargine.

Mean weight loss for liraglutide or exenatide added to oral hypoglycemic agents (SU, metformin, or both) was 3.2 and 2.9kg respectively (difference not significant). The proportion of patients who lost weight was similar (78% and 76% respectively).

Two trials stated that weight loss was independent of nausea; however, in LEAD-5 a small number of patients with sustained nausea (n=8) seemed to have greater weight loss (-3.2kg).^{2,5}

In the monotherapy trial, weight loss in the first 16 weeks was sustained throughout the 52-week study. Patients with > 7 days of nausea had a mean weight change of -3.24kg (1.2mg), -3.39kg (1.8), and -1.43kg (glimepiride). In comparison, those who had ≤ 7 days of nausea had a weight loss of -1.85kg, -2.26kg, and +1.22kg respectively (difference not significant).

The relationship between weight loss and A1C was assessed in LEAD-6. Those with weight loss had a mean decrease in A1C of 1.3% with liraglutide and 0.9% with exenatide. Those who did not lose weight had a mean decrease in A1C of 1.0% and 0.5% respectively. The difference in A1C reduction between those with and without weight loss was not significant.

Weight loss generally occurred within the first 12 weeks and was maintained thereafter. In LEAD studies 2-5, approximately 19-33% of patients receiving liraglutide had weight loss of 5% or greater. ¹⁰

Lipids^{1-6, 15}

A meta-analysis of the LEAD trials using liraglutide 1.8mg showed that mean change in total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C and triglycerides were -5.0mg/dL, -7.7mg/dL, -1.5mg/dL, and -17.7mg/dL respectively. However, results for individual trials were variable as shown in table 2.

Table 2: Liraglutide and Lipids

	Treatment Arms	TC (mg/dL)	LDL-C (mg/dL)	HDL-C (mg/dL)	Triglycerides(mg/dL)
Marre	LIR 1.2mg + GLIM	-5.06*	-2.36*	-0.84	-17.64
	LIR 1.8mg + GLIM	-11.99*^	-8.09*	-1.57*	-14.72
LEAD-1	GLIM + RSG	+7.42	+4.43	+0.75	+1.73
	GLIM + PBO	+0.64	-1.33	-0.06	+7.78
	LIR 1.2mg + MET	+0.68	+5.92	+0.29^	-25.38^
Nauck	LIR 1.8mg + MET	-1.26	+4.59	-0.56	-24.59^
LEAD-2	MET + GLIM	+1.78	+7.35	-0.09	-14.52
LEAD-2	MET + PBO	+2.13	+3.40	-1.8	+15.56
Garber	LIR 1.2mg	+1.47	-2.43	-3.83	-7.55
	LIR 1.8mg	-2.51	-4.09	-3.88	-14.40
LEAD-3	GLIM 8mg	+0.68	-2.89	-3.94	+2.26
Zimmon	LIR 1.2mg + MET +RSG	-8.2	-10.99^	-1.13	-33.81^
Zinman	LIR $1.8mg + MET + RSG$	-7.64	-8.72	-1.68	-28.54
LEAD-4	MET + RSG+PBO	-7.7	-4.03	-1.35	-11.74
D11 I	LIR 1.8mg + MET+ GLIM	-2.36	+4.19	-2.32	-21.79
Russell-Jones	GLA + MET + GLIM	+2.77	+9.15	-2.07	-19.52
LEAD-5	MET + GLIM	-0.96	+5.22	-1.03	-17.45
Buse	LIR 1.8mg + OHA	-7.73	-17	-1.5	-36.3*
LEAD-6	EXE 10mcg BID + OHA	-3.48	-15.5	-1.9	-20.4
Pratley	LIR1.2mg + MET	-1.16	+3.1	0	-16.83
•	LIR1.8mg + MET	-6.57*	+1.93	0	-38.1
	SIT100mg + MET	-0.77	+5.03	0	-35.43

EXEN=exenatide; HDL-C= high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C=low density lipoprotein cholesterol; LIR=liraglutide; MET=metformin; OHA=oral hypoglycemia agent; RSG=rosiglitazone; SIT=sitagliptin; TC=total cholesterol; TG=triglycerides

Blood Pressure and Pulse Rate 1-6, 15

Treatment with liraglutide resulted in mean decrease in systolic blood pressure ranging from 0.6 - 6.7mmHg (Table 3). The greatest reduction was seen when liraglutide was combined with rosiglitazone and metformin. In general, there was no significant change in diastolic blood pressure. Pulse rate increased by 2-4 beats/minute and may possibly be a compensatory response to the decrease in blood pressure.

Extension Trials

There are 3 open-label extensions trials from LEAD trials: LEAD-2 (to complete 104 weeks), LEAD-3 (to complete 104 weeks), and LEAD-6 (to complete 40 weeks and 78 weeks). See table 3 and appendix for more details.

Eighty-nine percent of patients (n=780) completing 26-weeks of the LEAD-2 trial entered the extension. Among these, 68% completed 2 years. Patient continued the treatment to which they were originally randomized. Change in A1C from baseline was not shown; however, A1C at endpoint for liraglutide + metformin was similar to glimepiride + metformin (mean range 7.38 - 7.44). Change in weight was maintained.¹¹

Data from LEAD-3 (104 weeks) are available. Among the 487 patients completing the 52-week parent study, 440 entered the extension and 321 completed 2 years. Patients continued receiving the same treatment to which they were originally randomized. Improvement in glycemic parameters and weight loss was maintained.⁷

Data from LEAD-6 (40weeks) are available. All patients (n=389) completing the 26-week parent study entered the extension for an additional 14 weeks with 97% completing this phase. Those originally randomized to liraglutide continued liraglutide and those originally randomized to exenatide were switched to liraglutide. Improvement in glycemic parameters and weight loss was maintained in the group remaining on liraglutide. In the group switched from exenatide to liraglutide, additional improvement in these parameters was noted.^{8, 9}

^{*}significant vs. comparator

[^]Significant vs. placebo

Table 3: Results of Selected Parameters

Study	Duration	Patients	Treatment arms	Change in A1C (%)§	A1C < 7% (%)	Change in FPG (mg/dL)	Change in PPG (mg/dL);	Baseline weight (kg)	Change in weight (kg)	Change in SBP (mmHg)
			LIR 0.6mg + GLIM	-0.60	24	-13	-32.4	82.6	+0.7	-0.9
Marre		Inadequate control on	LIR 1.2mg + GLIM	-1.08	35	-28.3	-45	80	+0.3	-2.6
	(LEAD-1) 26-weeks	OHA	LIR 1.8mg + GLIM	-1.13	42	-28.6	-48.6	83	-0.2	-2.8
(LEAD-1)		OHA	GLIM + RSG	-0.44	22	-15.8	-32.4	80.6	+2.1	-0.9
			GLIM + PBO	+0.23	8	+18.2	-7.2	81.9	-0.1	-2.3
			LIR 0.6mg + MET	-0.7 ± 0.1	28	-19.8	-30.8		-1.8	-0.6
Nauck		Inadequate control on	LIR 1.2mg + MET	-1.0 ± 0.1	35.3	-28.8	-41.4	Not	-2.6	-2.8
(LEAD-2)	26-weeks	OHA	LIR 1.8mg + MET	-1.0 ± 0.1	42.4	-30.6	-46.8	reported	-2.8	-2.3
(LEAD-2)		OHA	MET + GLIM	-1.0 ± 0.1	36.3	-23.4	-45	reported	+1.0	+0.4
			MET + PBO	$+0.1 \pm 0.1$	10.8	+7.2	-10.8		-1.5	-1.8
Carlan		Drug-treatment naïve or	LIR 1.2mg	-0.84±1.23	42.8	-15.1	-30.8	92.5	-2.05	-2.12
Garber	52-weeks	on monotx with OHA up	LIR 1.8mg	-1.14 ± 1.24	50.9	-25.6	-37.4	92.8	-2.45	-3.64
(LEAD-3)		to ½ max dose	GLIM 8mg	-0.51±1.2	27.8	-5.2	-2.5	93.4	+1.12	-0.69
Zinman		Inadequate control on OHA	LIR 1.2mg + MET +RSG	-1.5±0.1	57.5	-40	-47	NT .	-1.0	-6.7
(LEAD-4)	(LEAD-4) 26-weeks		LIR 1.8 mg + MET + RSG	-1.5 ± 0.1	53.7	-44	-49	Not	-2.0	-5.6
			MET + RSG	-0.5 ± 0.1	28.1	-8	-14	reported	+0.6	-1.1
Russell-		Id	LIR 1.8 + MET+GLIM	-1.33 ± 0.09	53	-27.9	-32.4	85.5	-1.81	-3.97
Jones	26-weeks	Inadequate control on	MET+GLIM	-0.24 ± 0.11	15	+9.54	+0.54	85.7	-0.42	+0.54
(LEAD-5)		OHA	Glargine+MET+GLIM	-1.09 ± 0.09	46	-32.2	-29	85	+1.62	-1.44
Buse	261	Inadequate control	LIR 1.8mg + OHA	-1.12 ± 0.08	54	-29	-24/-18†	93.1	-3.24	-2.51
(LEAD-6)	26-weeks	metformin, SU or both	EXEN 10mcg BID + OHA	-0.79 ± 0.08	43	-10.8	-24/-18Y	93	-2.87	-2.0
			LIR 0.6mg + MET	7.74	19.7				-2.1	
			LIR 1.2mg + MET	7.44	29.9				-3.0	
LEAD-2	104-weeks	Inadequate control on	LIR 1.8mg + MET	7.38	31.1	Not	Not		-2.9	Not
extension	104-weeks	OHA	MET + GLIM	7.49	23.5	reported	reported		+0.68	reported
			MET + PBO	8.12	10.8				Not	
									reported	
LEAD-3		Drug-treatment naïve or	LIR 1.2mg	-0.9	53.3	-23.6	-34		-2.3	-0.01
	104-weeks	on monotx with OHA up	LIR 1.8mg	-1.1	58	-27.1	-46.6		-2.8	+0.15
CATCHSION	extension	to ½ max dose	GLIM 8mg	-0.6	37	-6.2	-32.8		+1.0	+0.21
LEAD-6	40-weeks	Inadequate control	LIR→LIR	-0.1±0.04	61	-3.6	Not		-0.4	-2.2
extension	40-weeks	metformin, SU or both	$EXE \rightarrow LIR$	-0.3±0.04	57	-16.2	reported		-0.9	-3.8
		Inadaguata control	LIR1.2mg + MET	-1.24	2.75^	-33.7	Not	93.7	-2.86	-0.55
Pratley	26-weeks	Inadequate control on metformin	LIR1.8mg + MET	-1.5	4.50^	-38.5		94.6	-3.38	-0.72
		menoriiii	SIT100mg + MET	-0.9		-14.9	reported	93.1	-0.96	-0.94

EXEN=exenatide; FPG-fasting plasma glucose; GLIM-glimepiride; LIR=liraglutide; MET=metformin; OHA=oral hypoglycemia agent; PBO=placebo; PPG=post-prandial glucose;

RSG=rosiglitazone; SBP=systolic blood pressure; SIT=sitagliptin; SU=sulfonylurea

June 2010

[‡]Determined using self-measured 7 or 8 point plasma glucose profile

[†]Values shown as estimated treatment difference after breakfast/dinner. Exenatide reduced PPG more than liraglutide.

[§]For the LEAD-2 extension trial, final A1C (not change in A1C) was shown

[^] Value shown is odd ratio versus sitagliptin

Adverse Events (Safety Data)

The frequency of adverse events and withdrawal from study due to adverse events and deaths are shown in table 4. The percentage of patients reporting ≥ 1 adverse event in the liraglutide groups is slightly higher than the comparator arms. In LEAD trials 2, 3, 4, and 5 more patients receiving liraglutide withdrew from the trial due to adverse events. In the head-to-head trial of liraglutide versus exenatide, fewer patients in the liraglutide group withdrew due to adverse events. There were 5 deaths in the liraglutide groups (including 1 patient from a Phase III trial conducted in Japan) and 4 in the comparator groups.

Table 4: Frequency of Adverse Events^{8, 10, 11, 15}

	Treatment Arms	≥1 AE (%)	Serious AEs (%)	Discontinued due to AE (%)	Deaths
	LIR 0.6mg + GLIM	-	3	-	
LEAD-1	LIR 1.2mg + GLIM	69	4	4.8	
LEAD-1	LIR 1.8mg + GLIM	70	5	3.8	None
	GLIM + RSG	62	3	3	
	GLIM + PBO	64	3	5.3	
•	LIR 0.6mg + MET	-	-	-	
	LIR 1.2mg + MET	70	5.8	10	1 patient in LIR 1.2mg group
LEAD-2	LIR 1.8mg + MET	74	3.7	12	(liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular
	MET + GLIM	61	4.1	3	CA)
	MET + PBO	66	3.3	2	
	LIR 1.2mg	83	6.4 (16pts; 18 events)	10	1 patient in LIR 1.8mg group
LEAD-3	LIR 1.8mg	79	3.3 (8 pts; 9 events)	7.3	(acute pancreatic, colon CA)
ELIND 3	GLIM 8mg	71	5.2 (13 pts; 17 events)	6	1 patient in GLIM 8mg group (MVA)
	LIR 1.2mg + MET +RSG	84	4.5 (8 pts; 8 events)	6	
LEAD-4	LIR $1.8mg + MET + RSG$	83	3.9 (7 pts; 10 events)	15	None
	MET + RSG	70	6.9 (12 pts; 13 events)	3	
	LIR 1.8mg + MET+ GLIM	66	4	4.7	1 patient in LIR group (renal cell
LEAD-5	GLA + MET + GLIM	55	7	2.1	CA)
	MET + GLIM	56	7	0.9	2 patients in comparator group (AMI)
LEAD-6	LIR 1.8mg + OHA	75	5.1	9.8	None
LEAD-0	EXE 10mcg BID + OHA	79	2.6	13.4	None
LEAD-6	LIR→LIR	37.6	5 pts; 8 events	0	1 patient in LIR group (stroke)
Extension	$EXE \rightarrow LIR$	37.4	4pts; 7 events	3.2	1 patient from EXE→LIR (MI)1
	LIR 1.2mg + MET	66	3.0	6.2	None
Pratley	LIR 1.8mg + MET	73	3.0	6.8	N=1(pancreatic CA dx on day 11)
	SIT + MET	58	2.0	1.8	N=1(MI on day 48)

AE=adverse events; EXEN=exenatide; GLIM-glimepiride; LIR=liraglutide; MET=metformin; OHA=oral hypoglycemia agent; PBO=placebo; RSG=rosiglitazone; SIT=sitagliptin; SU=sulfonylurea

Adverse GI effects^{10-11, 15}

In the LEAD trials (LEAD-6 excluded), adverse GI events were reported in 41% and 17% of liraglutide- and comparator-treated groups respectively and tended to be dose-related. The most common GI adverse events included nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, dyspepsia and constipation. Approximately 13% of liraglutide-treated patients and 2% of comparator-treated patients reported nausea during the first 2 weeks of treatment. The incidence of nausea decreased over time. In LEAD-4, nausea was transient with 216 events occurring during weeks 1-4 and 65 events during weeks 4-26. In LEAD-5, the incidence of nausea decreased to 1.5% after 14 weeks of treatment.

Overall, 5% of liraglutide-treated patients and 0.5% of those in the comparator groups withdrew from the study due to adverse GI events. Withdrawal due to gastrointestinal adverse events mainly occurred during the first 2-3 months of the trials.

Initially, the incidence of nausea was similar between liraglutide and exenatide, but less persistent with liraglutide over time. The proportion of those with nausea by week 6 was 8.1% with liraglutide and 15.8% with exenatide. By week 26 it was 3% and 9% for liraglutide and exenatide respectively.

Table 5: Percent patients experiencing ≥ 1 adverse GI event(s) 10,11,15

	Treatment Arms	Nausea (%)	Vomiting (%)	Diarrhea (%)	Study withdrawal due to adverse GI event (%)
Marre	LIR 0.6mg + GLIM LIR 1.2mg + GLIM	NR 10.5	NR 4.4	NR 7.9	Nausea (0.9-2.2%) Vomiting (0.4 – 0.9%) Diarrhea (0-1.3%)
(LEAD-1)	LIR 1.8mg + GLIM GLIM + RSG GLIM + PBO	7 3 2	NR NR NR	NR NR NR	Range of withdrawals shown across all groups
Nauck (LEAD-2)	LIR 0.6mg + MET LIR 1.2mg + MET LIR 1.8mg + MET MET + GLIM	11 16 19 NR	5-7 (all LIR)	10 8 15 4	1 5 8 0
Garber (LEAD-3)	MET + PBO LIR 1.2mg LIR 1.8mg GLIM 8mg	27.5 29.3 8.5	12.4 9.3 3.6	15.5 18.7 8.9	0 4 2 0
Zinman (LEAD-4)	LIR 1.2mg + MET +RSG LIR 1.8mg + MET + RSG MET + RSG	29 40 NR	7 17 NR	NR NR NR	3 11 0
Russell-Jones (LEAD-5)	LIR 1.8 + MET+GLIM MET+GLIM Glargine+MET+GLIM	13.9 3.5 1.3	6.5 3.5 0.4	10 5.3 1.3	N=4 0 0
Buse (LEAD-6)	LIR 1.8mg + OHA EXEN 10mcg BID + OHA	25.5 28	6.0 9.9	12.3 12.1	8.1 9.5
LEAD-3 extension (total 2 yrs)	LIR 1.2mg/1.8mg GLIM 8mg	30 NR	NR	20-25 10	0
LEAD-6 extension (total 40wks)	LIR→LIR EXE →LIR	1.5† 3.2†	2.0 0.5		Nausea (0.5%)
Pratley	LIR 1.2mg + MET LIR 1.8mg + MET SIT + MET	21 27 5	8 10 4	7 11 5	NR

EXEN=exenatide; GLIM-glimepiride; LIR=liraglutide; MET=metformin; NR= not reported; OHA=oral hypoglycemia agent; PBO=placebo; RSG=rosiglitazone; SIT=sitagliptin; SU=sulfonylurea

Hypoglycemia¹⁰⁻¹¹

Most cases of hypoglycemia were considered minor. Major hypoglycemia requiring assistance of another person occurred in 7 liraglutide-treated patients (2.6 cases per 1000 patient-years). Among these 7 patients, 6 were receiving a sulfonylurea concomitantly.

Two additional cases of hypoglycemia requiring the assistance of another person for treatment have subsequently been reported in extension trials. Both patients were receiving liraglutide, one as monotherapy and the other in combination with metformin. Both patients had another likely explanation for the hypoglycemia (one received insulin during a frequently-sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test, and the other had intracranial hemorrhage and uncertain food intake).

There was no difference in frequency of minor events between liraglutide and insulin glargine; however, there were 6 major events in the liraglutide group and none in the glargine group. In the liraglutide versus exenatide trial there were fewer events in the liraglutide group (1.93 vs. 2.6 events/patient-year).

[†]Incidence shown is for nausea and diarrhea

Table 6: Frequency of Hypoglycemia 10-11

	Treatment Arms	Minor hypoglycemia % (events/patient-year)	Major hypoglycemia	Comments
Marre LEAD-1	LIR 0.6mg + GLIM LIR 1.2mg + GLIM LIR 1.8mg + GLIM GLIM + RSG GLIM + PBO	5.2 (0.17) 9.2 (0.51)* 8.1 (0.47)* 4.3 (0.12) 2.6 (0.17)	LIR 1.8 + GLIM (n=1)	Major hypo case occurred 9 days after treatment was started. Third party assistance was needed. Dose of GLIM was reduced from 4mg to 3mg.
Nauck LEAD-2	LIR 1.2mg + MET LIR 1.8mg + MET MET + GLIM MET + PBO	All LIR 3.6 (0.05)* 22.3 (0.87) 2.5 (0.06)	LIR1.2 + MET (n=1)	Event occurred during hospitalization and after insulin infusion
Garber LEAD-3	LIR 1.2mg LIR 1.8mg GLIM 8mg	12 (0.30 events/yr)* 8 (0.25 events/yr)* 24 (1.96 events/yr)	No major hypoglycemic events reported	
Zinman LEAD-4	LIR 1.2mg + MET +RSG LIR 1.8mg + MET + RSG MET + RSG	9.0 (0.4) 7.9 (0.6)^ 5.1 (0.2)	No major hypoglycemic events reported	
Russell-Jones LEAD-5	LIR 1.8mg + MET+ GLIM GLA + MET + GLIM MET + GLIM	27.4 (1.2) 28.9 (1.3) 16.7 (1.0)	2.2 (0.06 events/pt-yr) 0 0	5 patients (6 events) had major hypo in the LIR group (1 required medical assistance). None were nocturnal
Buse LEAD-6	LIR 1.8mg + OHA† EXE 10mcg BID + OHA†	26 (1.93)* 34 (2.6)	0 (n=2)	The 2 cases occurred in patients receiving exenatide + SU
LEAD-2 extension (total 2 yrs)	LIR 1.2mg + MET LIR 1.8mg + MET MET + GLIM MET + PBO	0.15 0.15 1.60‡ 0.16	Not reported	
LEAD-3 extension (total 2 yrs)	LIR 1.2mg LIR 1.8mg GLIM 8mg	0.21* 0.22* 1.75	0 1 0	Major hypoglycemia occurred following administration of regular insulin during a diagnostic test
LEAD-6 extension (total 40wks)	LIR1.8mg→LIR1.8mg EXEN→LIR1.8mg	0.7 1.3	1 0	
Pratley	LIR 1.2mg + MET LIR 1.8mg + MET SIT+MET	5 (0.178) 5 (0.370) 5 (0.106)	1 0 0	Blood glucose was 64.8; no seizure or coma occurred

 $EXEN=exenatide; GLIM-glimepiride; LIR=liraglutide; MET=metformin; OHA=oral\ hypoglycemia\ agent; PBO=placebo; RSG=rosiglitazone; PBO=placebo; RSG=rosiglitaz$

SIT=sitagliptin; SU=sulfonylurea

Pancreatitis 10, 15

In the LEAD trials, there were 7 cases of pancreatitis among liraglutide-treated patients and 1 case among comparator-treated patients (2.2 vs. 0.6 cases per 1000 patient-years). Among the 7 liraglutide cases, 5 were reported as acute pancreatitis and 2 were reported as chronic pancreatitis. Pancreatitis with necrosis leading to death was observed in 1 patient; however, clinical causality could not be established.

Some patients had other risk factors for pancreatitis (e.g., history of cholelithiasis, alcohol abuse). There are no conclusive data establishing a risk of pancreatitis with liraglutide treatment. Table 7 shows the reported cases of pancreatitis from the LEAD trials.

In LEAD-1, five patients with prior histories of pancreatitis entered the study. None of these patients developed pancreatitis during the study. Liraglutide has not been studied sufficiently in patients with a history of a pancreatitis.

[†]metformin, sulfonylurea, or metformin + sulfonylurea

^{*}Significant vs. comparator

[^]Significant vs. placebo

[‡]Significant vs. all liraglutide doses

Table 7: Reports of Pancreatitis 10, 15

	Liraglutide groups	Comparators	Comments
LEAD-1	LIR 0.6mg + glimerpiride (n=1)	0	Chronic pancreatitis reported after 157 days of liraglutide; continued therapy and completed trial
LEAD-2	LIR 1.2mg + metformin (n=1)	Glimepiride + metformin (n=1)	Acute pancreatitis reported after 50 days of liraglutide and 63 days of comparator. Patient in comparator group had elevated TG (>1500mg/dl) prior to the event. Both were withdrawn from the study. Both were hospitalized for 1 week and recovered
LEAD-3	LIR 1.2mg (n=1) LIR 1.8mg (n=2)	0	Acute pancreatitis was reported after 197 days of therapy (LIR 1.2mg) and this patient continued in the study. Patient had history of regular alcohol use. Acute pancreatitis was reported after 333 days of therapy (LIR 1.8mg). This patient was withdrawn from the trial. Both patients recovered. Acute pancreatitis reported after 669 days. Patient died. Autopsy showed acute and chronic pancreatitis and cholelithiasis
LEAD-4	0	0	*
LEAD-5	0	0	
LEAD-6	LIR 1.8mg (n=2)	0	Chronic pancreatitis reported after 88 days of liraglutide. Patient continued trial Acute pancreatitis reported after 419 days. Patient recovered. This case was reported after the cut-off of the 120-day Safety Update
Pratley	0	0	- p

The manufacturer recommends after initiation of liraglutide and after dose increases, to observe patients carefully for signs and symptoms of pancreatitis (including persistent severe abdominal pain, sometimes radiating to the back and which may or may not be accompanied by vomiting). If pancreatitis is suspected, liraglutide and other potentially suspect medications should be discontinued promptly, confirmatory tests should be performed and appropriate management should be initiated. If pancreatitis is confirmed, liraglutide should not be restarted. Use with caution in patients with a history of pancreatitis.

Calcitonin

C-cell hyperplasia and neoplasia were seen in pre-clinical studies using rodents; however, these findings were not observed in monkeys. C-cells comprise a very small fraction of the thyroid in humans, but are abundant in rodents. The function of the C-cell is to synthesize and release calcitonin. It was found that GLP-1 agonists can activate rodent C-cells causing calcitonin release. Continued activation could lead to C-cell proliferation in rodents. Spontaneous development of C-cell tumors is common in rats. GLP-1 receptors are found in rodent C-cells, but have not been definitively identified in human C-cells. ¹⁰

Because of the preclinical findings in rodents, calcitonin levels were monitored in the Phase 3 clinical trials. Calcitonin levels were measured approximately every 3 months during the studies including 2 extension trials. The normal reference range for the calcitonin assay used in the clinical trials was 0.7-5.0ng/ml (females) and 0.7-8.4ng/ml (males).

Mean serum calcitonin levels were higher in liraglutide-treated patients compared to placebo, but not compared to those receiving an active comparator. Mean values were approximately 1.0ng/ml (between group treatment-difference ≤ 0.1 ng/ml). ¹²

A shift from normal calcitonin value to above the upper limit of the reference range occurred in 1.9% receiving liraglutide 1.8mg. In the liraglutide 0.6mg and 1.2mg and comparator groups 0.8 to 1.1% of patients had a shift in calcitonin value from normal to above the upper limit of normal. Calcitonin measurements were made out to 5-6 months. ¹²

In trials with calcitonin measurements out to 12 months, 1.3% (1.8mg), 0.6% (1.2mg), 0 (placebo), and 1.0% (active-comparator) had values that increased to outside the upper limit. 12

C-cell hyperplasia

There were 6 reports of C-cell hyperplasia among the patients in the LEAD trials. Five of these reports were in patients receiving liraglutide and 1 was in the active comparator group. In the liraglutide groups, 4 out 5 had elevated calcitonin levels at baseline and throughout the studies and 1 developed an increased level while on therapy.¹²

Thyroid Neoplasms 10

Thyroid neoplasms were the most commonly reported neoplasm adverse event. Approximately 80% were benign nodules. The rates of benign thyroid neoplasms were 7.0 and 2.5 events/1000-patient years for liraglutide and non-liraglutide groups respectively.

Papillary thyroid cancer was diagnosed in 5 liraglutide-treated patients and in 1 patient in the comparator group. The rates of papillary thyroid cancer were 1.6 and 0.6 events/1000-patient years for liraglutide and non-liraglutide groups respectively. All but one patient had an elevated calcitonin level at baseline. The diagnosis in 4 of the 6 cases was papillary microcarcinoma. The clinical importance of papillary microcarcinoma is uncertain because there are few data evaluating outcomes.

There was 1 case of medullary thyroid carcinoma reported in a patient from a comparator group. This patient had a pre-treatment calcitonin level > 1000ng/ml.

Post-marketing requirements:

- A five-year prospective epidemiological study using a large healthcare claims database to determine the incidence of thyroid cancer among patients with type 2 diabetes exposed to liraglutide.
- Medullary thyroid carcinoma case series registry of at least 15 years
- Additional animal studies in mice to further evaluate the potential risk of medullary thyroid cancer in humans.

Other thyroid adverse events¹⁰

There was no difference in the rate of endocrine disorders (e.g., goiter, hypo- or hyperthyroidism, autoimmune thyroiditis, etc.) between liraglutide (10.2 events/1000-patients years) and non-lirglutide groups (10.7 events/1000-patient years).

The rates of abnormal thyroid-related blood tests were 13.8 and 8.2 events/1000-patients years for liraglutide and non-liraglutide groups respectively.

<u>Liraglutide antibodies</u>

In the 26-week LEAD trials, the percentage of patients who were positive for liraglutide antibodies at the end of treatment were 9.2%, 8.2%, and 8.1% for LIR0.6, LIR1.2, and LIR1.8mg respectively. The presence of antibodies did not appear to alter the glucose-lowering effect of liraglutide.¹⁰

Infections occurred in 40% of patients who were positive for liraglutide antibodies compared to 36% who received liraglutide, but were antibody negative, and 34-35% in the comparator groups. Specifically, non-serious upper respiratory tract infections occurred in 11% those with liraglutide antibodies and 5-7% in all other groups. ¹²

Events that are potentially immunologically-related (e.g., urticaria, angioedema) occurred in 0.8% of liraglutide-treated patients versus 0.4% of comparator-treated patients. Urticaria accounted for most of these events. All but 1 immunologically-related event was considered non-serious. There was 1 case of angioneurotic edema deemed serious that occurred within minutes of administration of an oral spray antibiotic. Those who developed liraglutide antibodies were not more likely to have an immunologic reaction compared to those who did not develop antibodies. ¹²

Cardiovascular Safety

In December 2008, the FDA issued guidance on characterizing cardiovascular safety for new diabetes therapies. Because, the liraglutide application for approval was submitted prior to December 2008, the manufacturer had not designed trials according to the guidance. The FDA did perform an analysis of major cardiovascular adverse events (MACE) on the available data. The FDA recommends that point estimates and 95% confidence limits be calculated comparing the incidence of events with the investigational drug to that occurring in the control group. If premarketing data showed an upper bound of the 95% CI to be < 1.8, the new agent could be approved without additional pre-approval commitment for assessing cardiovascular safety.

Most point estimates in the main analyses were less than 1 with the upper bound of the 95% CI < 1.8. The FDA determined there was no evidence of excess cardiovascular risk associated with liraglutide. However, the FDA is requiring that a post-marketing study be conducted.¹⁰

A 5-year trial is planned to evaluate cardiovascular outcomes with liraglutide in a higher risk population. The trial is expected to be completed September 2015 and submission of the complete report in April 2016.

Cardiac Electrophysiology (OTc)

The effect of liraglutide on QTc interval was evaluated in healthy volunteers (n=51) in a randomized placebo-controlled, double-blind crossover study. Liraglutide 0.6, 1.2, 1.8mg and placebo were each given once daily for 7 days. Four different models for QT correction were used. Baseline subtracted difference in QTc interval was not found to increase compared to placebo when measured at various time points. There were no QTc values above 500ms or QTc increase of > 60ms. ¹³

Contraindications

Liraglutide is contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of medullary thyroid carcinoma or in patients with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia syndrome type 2.

Warnings and Precautions

Liraglutide has the following black box warning

WARNING: RISK OF THYROID C-CELL TUMORS Liraglutide causes dose-dependent and treatment-duration-dependent thyroid C-cell tumors at clinically relevant exposures in both genders of rats and mice. It is unknown whether liraglutide causes thyroid C-cell tumors, including medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), in humans, as human relevance could not be ruled out by clinical or nonclinical studies. Liraglutide is contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of MTC and in patients with Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia syndrome type 2 (MEN 2). Based on the findings in rodents, monitoring with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound was performed during clinical trials, but this may have increased the number of unnecessary thyroid surgeries. It is unknown whether monitoring with serum calcitonin or thyroid ultrasound will mitigate human risk of thyroid C-cell tumors. Patients should be counseled regarding the risk and symptoms of thyroid tumors.

Sentinel Events

No data

Look-alike/Sound-alike (LASA) Error Risk Potential

As part of a JCAHO standard, LASA names are assessed during the formulary selection of drugs. Based on clinical judgment and an evaluation of LASA information from four data sources (Lexi-Comp, USP Online LASA Finder, First Databank, and ISMP Confused Drug Name List), the following drug names may cause LASA confusion.

Using the 4 data sources mentioned above, no LASA names were listed for liraglutide or brand name Victoza. Based on clinical judgment VidazaTM might be considered as a candidate for LASA confusion; however, the potential for mix-up is expected to be low due to the different dose and indications. Vidaza (used for myelodysplastic syndromes) comes as a 100mg injection that needs to be reconstituted whereas Victoza comes as 3mL pre-filled pens (6mg/mL).

Drug Interactions¹²

Liraglutide has a low potential for drug interactions via the cytochrome P450 pathways or interactions involving protein binding. Because liraglutide delays gastric emptying, there is a potential that co-administered oral drugs may be affected.

The drug-drug interaction studies were performed at steady state with liraglutide 1.8 mg/day. Administration of the interacting drugs was timed so that Cmax of liraglutide (8-12 h) would coincide with the absorption peak of the co-administered drugs. The co-administered drugs were given as a single dose. There were no significant interactions requiring a dosage adjustment.

Table 8: Effect of liraglutide on the pharmacokinetics of co-administered drugs¹²

	D: 1	Lisinopril	Atorvastatin	Acetaminophen	Griseofulvin	Ethinylestradiol 0.03 mg/
	Digoxin 1mg	20mg	40mg	1000mg	500mg	levonorgestrel 0.15 mg
Cmax	↓31%	↓27%	↓38%	↓31%	↑37%	↓12% (EES)/↓13% (LEV)
AUC	↓16%	↓15%	No change	No change	No change	No change (EES)/ ↑18% (LEV)
Time to Tmax	Delayed by	Delayed by 2h	Delayed by 2h	Delayed up to	No change	Delayed by 1.5h (both)
Time to Timax	1/2h			15 min	No change	

AUC=area under the curve; Cmax=maximum concentration; EES= ethinylestradiol; LEV= levonorgestrel; Tmax=time to maximum concentration

Cost

Table 9: VA Acquisition Cost

	Usual daily dose	Dosing Frequency	Cost/day	Cost/month
Liraglutide	1.2mg	Once daily	\$6.00	\$180.25
Litagiutide	1.8mg	Once daily	\$9.00	\$270.37
Exenatide	5mcg	Twice deily	\$4.22	\$119.34
Exellatine	10mcg	Twice daily	\$4.96	\$140.24
Sitagliptin	50mg	Once daily	\$3.78	\$113.50
	100mg	Once daily	\$3.75	\$112.50
Carraglintin	2.5mg	Once daily	\$4.28	\$128.40
Saxagliptin	5mg	Once daily	\$4.09	\$122.70
	15mg		\$2.62	\$78.60
Pioglitazone	30mg	Once daily	\$4.00	\$120.10
	45mg		\$4.30	\$128.98
Rosiglitazone	4mg	Once or twice	\$2.26	\$67.90
	8mg	daily	\$4.08	\$122.40

Does not take into account tablet splitting of rosiglitazone 8mg or twice daily dosing of the 2mg and 4mg tablets Prices accessed March 2010

Conclusions

Liraglutide offers another option for add-on therapy when oral agents (i.e. metformin, sulfonylureas, TZDs) no longer provide adequate glycemic control. Of interest to the VA is how liraglutide compare to insulin or exenatide when added to 2 oral agents. Apart from its effect on weight, it does not appear to offer a significant advantage over insulin glargine at the doses used in the trial. In comparison to exenatide, liraglutide reduced A1C slightly more, had fewer minor hypoglycemic events, less persistent nausea, and is dosed once daily.

References

- 1. Marre M, Shaw J, Brändle M, et al.; LEAD-1 SU study group. Liraglutide, a once-daily human GLP-1 analogue, added to a sulphonylurea over 26 weeks produces greater improvements in glycaemic and weight control compared with adding rosiglitazone or placebo in subjects with Type 2 diabetes (LEAD-1 SU). Diabet Med. 2009 Mar;26(3):268-78.
- Nauck M, Frid A, Hermansen K, et al.; LEAD-2 Met Study group. Efficacy and safety comparison of liraglutide, glimepiride, and placebo, all I combination with metformin in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2009 Jan;32(1):84-90.
- 3. Garber A, Henry R, Ratner R, et al.; LEAD-3 (Mono) Study Group. Liraglutide versus glimepiride monotherapy for type 2 diabetes (LEAD-3 Mono): a randomised, 52-week, phase III, double-blind, parallel-treatment trial. Lancet 2009 Feb 7;373(9662):473-81.
- 4. Zinman B, Gerich J, Buse JB, et al.; LEAD-4 Study Investigators. Efficacy and safety of the human glucagon-like peptide-1 analog liraglutide in combination with metformin and thiazolidinedione in patients with type 2 diabetes (LEAD-4 Met+TZD). Diabetes Care. 2009 Jul;32(7):1224-30.
- 5. Russell-Jones D, Vaag A, Schmitz O, et al.; Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes 5 (LEAD-5) met+SU Study Group. Liraglutide vs insulin glargine and placebo in combination with metformin and sulfonylurea therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus (LEAD-5 met+SU): a randomised controlled trial. Diabetologia. 2009 Oct;52(10):2046-55.
- 6. Buse JB, Rosenstock J, Sesti G, Schmidt WE, et al.; LEAD-6 Study Group. Liraglutide once a day versus exenatide twice a day for type 2 diabetes: a 26-week randomised, parallel-group, multinational, open-label trial (LEAD-6). Lancet. 2009 Jul 4;374(9683):39-47.
- 7. Garber AJ, Henry R, Ratner R, et al. Liraglutide, a human GLP-1 analogue, maintains greater reductions in HbA1c, FPG, and weight than glimepiride over 2 years in patients with type 2 diabetes: LEAD-3 extension study. (Poster) Presented at the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, 29 September-2 October, 2009, Vienna, Austria.
- 8. Buse JB, Sesti G, Schmidt WE, et al. Switching to once-daily liraglutide from twice-daily exenatide further improves glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes using oral agents. Diabetes Care published online March 23, 2010.
- 9. Buse JB, Sesti G, Schmidt WE, et al. Switching from twice-daily exenatide to once-daily liraglutide improves glycemic control in type 2 diabetes on oral agents (LEAD-6). Poster presented at the American Diabetes Association 5-9 June 2009, New Orleans, LA.
- Novo Nordisk Liraglutide for treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes. Briefing documents for Endocrine and Metabolic Drug Advisory Committee April 2, 2009 http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/09/briefing/2009-4422b2-02-NovoNordisk.pdf
- 11. Victoza Academy of Managed Care Pharmacy Dossier. February 2010.
- 12. Product package insert for Victoza liraglutide (rDNA origin) injection. Date of issue: January 2010
- 13. Chatterjee DJ, Khutoryansky N, Zdravkovic M, Sprenger CR, Litwin JS. Absence of QTc prolongation in a thorough QT study with subcutaneous liraglutide, a once-daily human GLP-1 analog for treatment of type 2 diabetes. J Clin Pharmacol.2009 Nov; 49(11):1353-62.
- 14. Davies M, Storms F, Shutler S, et al. for the ATLANTUS study group. Improvement of glycemic control in participants with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes: comparison of two algorithms using insulin glargine.

 Diabetes Care 2005; 28: 1282-1288.
- 15. Pratley RE, Nauck M, Bailey T, et al. Liraglutide versus sitagliptin for patients with type 2 diabetes who did not have adequate glycemic control with metformin: a 26-week, randomized, parallel-group, open-label study. Lancet 2010; 375: 1447-56.

Contact person: Deb Khachikian, Pharm.D.

Appendix 1: Liraglutide Studies

Study	Inclusion/Exclusion	Dosage	Demographics/Baseline values			Resu	lts		
Marre 2009	Inclusions:		Values for LIR 0.6; LIR 1.2; LIR						
LEAD-1	Type 2 DM		1.8; PBO; RSG respectively		LIR 0.6	LIR 1.2	LIR 1.8	PBO +	GLIM +
R, DB, DD, PC	18-80 years old	LIR 0.6mg + GLIM 2-4mg			+	+	+	GLIM	RSG
26-week	HbA1c 7-11% on OHA	LIR 1.2mg + GLIM 2-4mg	Age (years): 55.7±9.9; 57.7±9;		GLIM	GLIM	GLIM		
N=1041	monotherapy ≥ 3 months	LIR 1.8mg + GLIM 2-4mg	55.6 ± 10 ; 54.7 ± 10 ; 56 ± 9.8	Completers	00	0.5	0.1		0.1
	HbA1c 7-10% on OHA	Placebo + GLIM 2-4mg	Male (%): 54; 45; 53; 47; 47	(%)	89	86	91	73	84
ITT (≥ 1 dose taken)	combination therapy ≥ 3	GLIM 2-4mg + RSG 4mg	BMI (kg/m2): 30.± 5; 29.8±5.1;	A1C (%)					
, i	months		30 ± 5.1 ; 30.3 ± 5.4 ; 29.4 ± 4.8	All patients	-0.60*	-1.08*^	-1.13*^	+0.23	-0.44
Conducted primarily	$BMI \le 45 kg/m2$	All drugs taken once daily	Weight (kg): 82.6 ± 17.7 ; 80 ± 17.1 ;	Prior monotx	-0.8*	-1.4*^	-1.5*^	-0.4	-0.8
in Europe and Asia			$83 \pm 18.1; 81.9 \pm 17.1; 80.6 \pm 17$	Prior combotx	-0.4*	-0.7*^	-0.8*^	+0.7	-0.01
	Exclusions:		DM duration (years): 6.5; 6.7; 6.5;	A1c < 7% (%)					_
	Used insulin during		6.5; 6.6	All patients	24*	35*^	42*^¶	8	22*
	previous 3 months		HbA1c (%): 8.4±1.0; 8.5±1.1;	Prior monotx	31	55	52 "	11	36
	Impaired liver/renal fx		8.5±0.9; 8.4±1.0; 8.4±1.0	Prior combotx	20	24	36	5	15
	$BP \ge 180/100 mmHg$		FPG (mg/dL): 180 ±43.2; 176.4±	FPG (mg/dL)	-13*	-28.3*^	28.6*^	+18.2	-15.8*
	Cancer		48.6; 174.6 ±43.2; 171 ±36; 178.2 ±	PPG (mg/dl) ‡	-32.4*	-45*^	-48.6*^	-7.2	-32.4*
	Other meds that can affect		45	Weight (kg)	+0.7*^	+0.3^	-0.2^	-0.1	+2.1
	glucose		Monotherapy (%): 30; 31; 27; 32;	SBP (mmHg)§	-0.9	-2.6	-2.8	-0.9	-2.3
			32	Liraglutide	Not				
				antibodies (%)	shown	12.7	9.3	NA	NA
				Calcitonin	Not				
			Mean ±SD unless otherwise noted	(ng/L)	shown	1.04	1.01	0.93	0.97
				*Significant vs. G					
				^Significant vs. G					
				¶Significant vs. U					
				‡Average of value			er breakfast	lunch and di	nner
				§Reduction in DB					
				difference between		n un groups (.8) ************************************	Similari
Nauck 2009	Inclusions:	2:2:2:1 randomization	Values for LIR 0.6; LIR 1.2; LIR		- groups				
LEAD-2	Type 2 DM	Stratified according to OHA	1.8; GLIM; PBO respectively		LIR 0.6	LIR 1.2	LIR 1.8	GLIM +	PBO +
R, DB, DD, PC	18-80 years old	mono or combination therapy			+ MET	+ MET	+ MET	MET	MET
26-week	HbA1c 7-11% on OHA	Prior to randomization: 3-week	Age (years): 56±11; 57±9; 57±9;	Completers	86	82	79	0.6	
N=1087	monotherapy ≥ 3 months	forced titration of metformin to	57± 9; 56± 9	(%)	86	82	79	86	61
	HbA1c 7-10% on OHA	2000mg/d then 3-week	Male (%): 62; 54; 59; 57; 60	A1C (%)					
ITT (at least 1 dose	combination therapy ≥ 3	metformin maintenance period	BMI (kg/m2): 30.5±4.8; 31.1±4.8;	All patients	$-0.69\pm$	$-0.97\pm$	-1.0±	$-0.98\pm$	$+0.09\pm$
of drug and 1 post-	months	(if on prior metformin, may	30.9±4.6; 31.2±4.6; 31.6± 4.4	1	0.1*	0.1*	0.1*	0.1	0.1
baseline	$BMI \le 40 \text{kg/m}2$	advance to maintenance period)	DM duration (years): 7 ± 5 ; 7 ± 5 ; 8	Prior monotx	-0.86	-1.25	-1.30	-1.15	-0.38
measurement of		After randomization, 2-3 week	\pm 5; 8 \pm 5; 8 \pm 6	Prior combotx	-0.51	-0.68	-0.71	-0.78	+0.47
parameter)	Exclusions:	titration period for LIRA or	HbA1c (%): 8.4±0.9; 8.3±1.0;	A1c <7% (%)					
	Used insulin during	glimepiride	8.4±1.0; 8.4±1.0; 8.4±1.1	All patients	28*	35.3*	42.4*	36.3	10.8
	previous 3 months		FPG (mg/dL): 183.6 ±43.2; 178.2±	Prior monotx	43.2	52.8	66.3	56	22.5
		LIR 0.6mg +metformin	41.4; 181.8 ±41.4; 180 ±46.8; 180 ±	Prior combotx	20.3	24.5	30.1	25.3	5
		LIR 1.2mg + metformin	41.4	FPG (mg/dL)	-19.8*	-28.8*	-30.6*	-23.4	+7.2

		LIR 2.8mg + metformin	Monotherapy (%): 34; 38; 34; 37;	PPG (mg/dl) ‡ -3	0.8* -41.4*	-46.8*	-45 -10.8
		Glimepiride 4mg + metformin Placebo + metformin	34 Pre-study metformin (%): 86; 86;		1.8± -2.6± 0.2^ 0.2^	-2.8± 0.2^ +1	0±0.2 -1.5± 0.3
			87; 92; 93		0.6 - 2-3^		0.4 -1.8
			Pre-study SU (%): 11; 13; 13; 8; 7		Not 0.96		0.87
			HOMA-B (%): 40; 47; 43; 43; 45		orted	0.94	0.87
			Many (CD) and an otherwise metal	*Significant vs. PBO +			
			Mean ±SD unless otherwise noted	^Significant vs. GLIM			
				Significance not determ ‡ mean post-prandial gl			
				study	lucose from sen-inc	mitorea /-pomi me	asurements at end of
				§no change in diastolic	BP		
Garber 2008	Inclusions:	Groups stratified by prior treatment	Values for LIR 1.2; LIR 1.8, GLIM				
LEAD-3	Type 2 DM	(diet/exercise vs. OHA)	8 respectively		LIR 1.2mg	LIR 1.8mg	GLIM 8mg
R, DB, DD, AC, PR	18-80 years old	1:1:1 randomization		Completers (%)	64.5	70	61.3
G 1 . 11 ***	Diet/exercise treated or up	Prior OHAs were discontinued	Age (years): 53.7±11; 52±10.8;	A1C(%)			
Conducted in USA	to half maximum dose of	1: 1:1.10 1:1 (051)	53.4±10.9	All patients	-0.84±1.23*		
(126 sites) and Mexico(12 sites)	OHA as monotherapy for ≥ 2 months	Liraglutide 1.2mg once daily (n=251) Liraglutide 1.8mg once daily (n=246)	Male (%): 47; 49; 54 Weight (kg): 92.5±19.2; 92.8±20.7;	Prior diet/exercise	-1.19±0.15*		-0.88±0.13
Mexico(12 sites)	HbA1c 7-11% (diet/	Glimepiride 8mg once daily (n=248)	Weight (kg): 92.3±19.2; 92.8±20.7; 93.4±19.2	Prior OHA	-0.47 ±0.1*	-0.71±0.09*	-0.17±0.08
N=745	exercise treated)	Gilliepiride onig once daily (11–248)	BMI (kg/m2): 33.2±5.6; 32.8±6.3;	A1C <7% (%) All patients	42.8	50.9	27.8
11 7 15	HbA1c 7-10% (OHA	Forced-titration to above doses	33.2±5.6	Prior diet/exercise	58.3*	62*^	30.8
52-weeks	treated)		DM duration (years): 5.2±5.5;	Prior OHA	36.3	02	30.0
	$BMI \le 45 kg/m^2$		5.3±5.1; 5.6±5.1	FPG (mg/dL)	-15.1*	-25.6*^	-5.2
ITT (LOCF)			HbA1c (%): 8.3±1.0; 8.3±1.1;	PPG (mg/dL)¶	-30.8	-37.4*	-2.5
	Exclusions:		8.4±1.2	Weight (kg)			
	Treatment with insulin		FPG (mg/dL): 167.4±46.8;	Had no or < 7d nausea		-2.26*	+1.22
	during previous 3 months		171±46.8; 171±46.8	Had ≥ 7d nausea‡	-3.24	-3.39	-1.43
	(unless short-term for intercurrent illness)		PPG (mg/dL): 203.4±43.2; 205.2±45; 205.2±48.6	SBP (mmHg)	-2.12	-3.64	+0.69
	Treatment with systemic		% prestudy tx (diet/exercise): 36;	Calcitonin (ng/L)	0.93	0.94	0.83
	steroids		35; 38	*Significant vs. GLIM			
	Hypoglycemia			^Significant vs. LIR 1.2 ¶Determined from self-		-1	
	unawareness or recurrent			*Number of patients we			nd GLIM
	severe hypoglycemia		Mean ±SD unless otherwise noted	respectively	29, 38 and 9 101	LIK 1.2, LIK 1.0 a	iid GLiivi
	AST or ALT \geq 2.5x ULN			respectively			
Zinman 2009	Inclusions:	1:1:1 randomization	Values for LIR 1.2; LIR 1.8; PBO		TD 4.4 NEW	**************************************	North Dag
LEAD-4 USA and Canada	Type 2 DM Age 18-80 years	Before randomization, 6-9 week metformin and RSG run-in	<u>respectively</u>	I		LIR 1.8 + MET	MET + RSG +
R, DB, PC	HbA1c 7-11% on OHA	menormin and RSG fun-in	Age (years): 55±10; 55±11; 55±10	Completers (%)	+ RSG 86	+ RSG 75	PBO 68
26-week	monotherapy ≥ 3 months	LIR 1.2mg + MET 1g BID + RSG	Male (%): 57; 51; 62	A1C (%)	-1.5±0.1*	-1.5±0.1*	-0.5±0.1
N=533	HbA1c 7-10% on OHA	4mg BID	BMI (kg/m2): 33.2±5.4; 33.5±5.1;	A1C<7% (%)	57.5*	53.7*	28.1
	combination therapy ≥ 3		33.9±5.2	FPG (mg/dL)	-40*	-44*	-8
ITT analysis	months	LIR 1.8mg + MET 1g BID + RSG	DM duration (years): 9 ±6; 9 ±6; 9	PPG (mg/dL)	-47*	-49*	-14
	$BMI \le 45 kg/m2$	4mg BID	± 6	Weight (kg)	-1.0±0.3*	-2.0±0.3*	+0.6±0.3kg
	Had FPG 135-230mg/dL		HbA1c (%): 8.5±1.2; 8.6±1.2;	SBP (mmHg)	-6.7± 1.1*	-5.6± 1.1*	-1.1± 1.2

June 2010

	after run-in period on RSG 8mg daily and metformin	MET 1g BID + RSG 4mg BID + PBO	8.4±1.2 FPG (mg/dL): 182 ±43; 185± 43;	Liraglutide antibodies (%)	4.1	6.7	NA
	2gm daily	Other pre-study DM drugs were	180 ±47 Monotherapy (%): 16; 16; 18	Calcitonin (ng/L)	0.89	0.83	0.75
	Exclusions: Previous insulin treatment	discontinued	Mean ±SD unless otherwise noted	Peripheral edema (%)	5.1	1.7	8.0
Russell-Jones 2009	Inclusions:	6-week run-in period with titration of	Values for liraglutide; placebo;	Values ± SE *Significant vs. MET Mean 90-min PPG (n measurements at end	nan of 3 meals) from	n self-monitored 7-c	oint glucose
LEAD-5	Type 2 DM 18-80 years old	metformin to 2g/day and glimepiride to 4mg/day	glargine respectively		LIR + MET +GLIM	PBO + MET +GLIM	Glargine+ MET +GLIM
R, DB (glargine	HbA1c 7.5-10% (on	to 4mg day		Completers (%)	89	83	94
open-label), PC	monotx) HbA1c 7-10% (on	2:1:2 randomization (stratified according to prestudy monotx or	Age (years): 57.6±9.5; 57±9; 57.5±9.6	A1C (%)	-1.33 ± 0.09*^	-0.24 ± 0.11	-1.09 ± 0.09*
	combotx)	combo OHA use)	Male (%): 57; 49; 60	Final A1C (%)	7.0	8.1	7.2
26-weeks	BMI $\leq 45 \text{kg/m2}$	·	BMI (kg/m2): 30.4±5.3; 31.3±5.0;	A1C <7% (%)	7.0	0.1	,,,
	Treated with OHA for at	LIR 1.8mg + MET + GLIM	30.3±5.3	FPG (mg/dL)	-27.9*	+9.54	-32.2*
ITT and per-protocol	least 3 months before	Placebo + MET + GLIM	DM duration (years): 9.2 ± 5.8 ; 9.4	PPG (mg/dL)	-32.4*	+0.54	-29*
analysis	screening	Glargine + MET + GLIM	± 6.2 ; 9.7 ± 6.4	Weight (kg)	-1.8 ± 0.33*^	-0.42 ± 0.39	+1.6 ± 0.33
	FPG 135-230mg/dl agter		HbA1c (%): 8.3±0.9; 8.3±0.9;	Waist		***************************************	
N=581 (ITT n=576)	6-week run-in	Insulin glargine once daily with dosage titration using the AT-	8.2±0.9 FPG (mg/dL): 163.8 ±37.8; 169.2±	circumference	-1.5^	-0.62	+0.89
(11111-370)	Exclusions:	LANTUS protocol. Did not use	36: 163.8 ±36	(cm)			
Non-inferiority	Insulin treatment within 3	treat-to-target approach.	Weight (kg): 85.5 ± 19.4 ; 85.7 ± 16.7 ;	SBP (mmHg)§	-4.0 ^	-1.4	+0.54
against glargine	months prior to trial Impaired renal or liver	treat-to-target approach.	85 ±17.9 Systolic BP (mmHg): 135±15;	Liraglutide antibodies (%)	9.8	NA	NA
Superiority against	function	Average dose at end-of-trial visit:	133± 14; 133±14.7	Calcitonin (ng/L)	1.27	1.24	1.04
placebo	Clinically significant CV disease Proliferative retinopathy/ maculopathy BP ≥ 180/100mmHg Cancer Pregnant Recurrent hypoglycemia or hypoglycemia unawareness Hepatitis B antigen + Hepatitis C antibody + Use of other drugs that can affect glucose levels	Glargine 24 U/day Glimepiride 3.4mg, 3.9mg, 3.6mg in lira, placebo, and glargine groups respectively	Diastolic BP (mmHg): 80.8±9.1; 80.4±9.1; 80.5±8.0 Previous monotx (%): 5 Mean ±SD unless otherwise noted	*Significant vs. place *Significant vs. glarg Mean ± SEM \$No significant reduc	ine	to either placebo o	r glargine

Buse 2009	Inclusions:	1:1 randomization	Values for liraglutide and			
R, OL	Type 2 DM	Stratified by prior OHA treatment	exenatide respectively		Liraglutide	Exenatide
26-weeks	18-80 years old	2-week dose escalation period (LIR)		Completers (%)	86	81

LEAD-6	HbA1c 7-11%	4-week dose escalation period (EXN)	Age (years): 56.3 ± 9.8 ; 57.1 ± 10.8	A1C (%)		-1.	$12 \pm 0.08*$	-0.79	9 ± 0.08
	$BMI \le 45 kg/m2$	•	Male (%): 49; 55	A1C (%) in thos	e with		.4 ± 0.21*		2± 0.37
ITT and per-protocol	On maximally tolerable	Liraglutide 1.8mg once daily	BMI (kg/m2): 32.9 ± 5.5 ; 32.9 ± 5.7	baseline ≥ 10%					
analysis	doses of metformin, SU or	Exenatide 10mcg BID	Weight (kg): 93.1 ± 20.1 ; 93 ± 19.5	A1C <7% (%)			54*		43
	both		DM duration (years): 8.5 ± 6.2 ; 7.9	FPG (mg/dL)		-2	29 ± 3.6*	-10.	8 ± 3.6
N=464		No reduction of dose allowed once	± 5.9	PPG (mg/dL)			23.94	(breakfast)§	
	Exclusions:	final maintenance dose was reached	HbA1c (%): 8.2 ± 1.0 ; 8.1 ± 1.0	estimated tx diff	erence		18.18	(dinner)§	
	Previous insulin treatment		FPG (mg/dL): 176.4 ± 45; 171 ±	Weight (kg)		-3	$.24 \pm 0.33$	-2.8	7 ± 0.33
	Previous exposure to	Background OHA maintained. If	43.2	Pts. who lost we	ight (%)		78		76
	exenatide or liraglutide	hypoglycemia occurred, SU dose	Pre-study medications:	SBP (mmHg)		-2	$.51 \pm 1.15$	-2.0	± 1.18
	Impaired renal or liver function	could be reduced by up to 50%	• Metformin alone (%): 27; 27	DBP (mmHg)		-1	$.05 \pm 0.71$	-1.98	3 ± 0.71
			• SU alone (%):10; 9	Calcitonin (ng/L)		0.38	().36
	Clinically significant CV disease		• Combination metformin+SU:	Mean ± SE					
	Retinopathy/maculopathy		62; 64	*Significant vs. ex	enatide				
	requiring acute treatment		Systolic BP (mmHg): 132± 16.2; 134+ 17	§Significant vs. lin	aglutide				
	BP $\geq 180/100$		Diastolic BP (mmHg): 79.6± 8.4;						
	Cancer		78.9± 8.9						
	Current		Fasting C-peptide (nmol/L): 1.25±						
			0.56: 1.26 ± 0.58						
			0.50, 1.20 ± 0.50						
			Mean ±SD unless otherwise noted						
Nauck (dossier)	See Nauck 2009	LIR 0.6mg +metformin							
Open-label extension		LIR 1.2mg + metformin			LIR 0.6	LIR 1.2	LIR 1.8	GLIM +	PBO +
of LEAD-2		LIR 2.8mg + metformin			+ MET	+ MET	+ MET	MET	MET
		Glimepiride 4mg + metformin		Completers			529/780 (689	%)	
1.5 year extension		Placebo + metformin		A1C (%) at	7.74	7.44	7.38	7.49	8.12
(total 2 years)				endpoint					
		780 entered extension; 529		A1C < 7% (%)	1.7	29.9	31.1*	23.5	10.8
		completed 2 years		Weight (kg)	-2.1	-3.0	-2.9	+0.68	Not
					-2.1	-3.0	-2.9	+0.08	reported
				Waist circum		-1.8 to -2.8	0	+0.2	Not
				(cm)		-1.8 10 -2.6	0	+0.2	reported
				Minor hypo	0.15	0.15	0.15	1.60^	0.16
				(events/pt-yr)	0.13	0.13	0.13	1.00	0.10
				Liraglutide	Not	4.3	4.6		
				antibodies (%)	shown		4.0		
				*Significant vs. gl					
				^Significant vs. al	l liraglutide	doses			
Garber (abstract,	See Garber 2008	Liraglutide 1.2mg once daily (n=110)	Male (%): 51						
poster, dossier)	211 341001 2000	Liraglutide 1.8mg once daily (n=114)	Age (vears): 53±10		Ţ.TK	R 1.2mg	LIR 1.8mg	GLI	M 8mg
Open-label extension		Glimepiride 8mg once daily (n=97)	BMI (kg/m2): 33±6	Completers			321/440 (
of LEAD-3		1 (//)	DM duration (years): 5±5	A1C (%)			321/770 (1370)	
V	1	T .	Ziiz aazamon (Jeans). Ziiz	A1C (70)					

		440 pts entered open-label study and	HbA1c (%): 8.1±1.0	All patients	-0.9*	-1.1*	-0.6
1-year extension		321 completed 2-years	FPG(mg/dL): 163.8±41.4	Prior diet/exercise	-1.4	-1.4	-1.0
(total 2 years)			Prior diet/exercise only (%): 36	DM duration < 3yrs	-1.1	-1.4*	-0.7
			Prior OAD monotherapy (%): 64	DM duration \geq 3yrs	-0.8	-1.0*	-0.4
				A1C < 7% (%)	53.3*	58*	37
				FPG (mg/dL)	-23.6*	-27.1*	-6.2
				PPG (mg/dl)	-34	-46.6	-32.8
				Weight (kg)	-2.3*	-2.8*	+1.0
				Waist circum (cm)	-4.0	-4.86	-0.96
				Minor hypo	0.21*	0.22*	1.75
				(events/pt-yr)	0.21	0.22	1.73
				SBP (mmHg)	-0.01	0.15	0.21
				*Significant vs. glimepiri	de		_
				Efficacy data shown for 2	2-year complet	ters	
Buse 2010 and poster	See Buse 2009	Those on liraglutide 1.8mg continued	Values for Exenatide→liraglutide				
O 1-11		1 1					
Open-label extension		dose	and liraglutide→liraglutide]	Liraglutide→	$Exenatide \rightarrow$
of LEAD-6			and liraglutide→liraglutide respectively]	Liraglutide→ liraglutide	Exenatide→ liraglutide
of LEAD-6		Those on exenatide were switched to	respectively	Completers (%)]	liraglutide 98.5	liraglutide 94.7
of LEAD-6 14-week extension		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5	A1C (%)]	liraglutide	liraglutide
of LEAD-6		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then 1.2mg x 1 week, then 1.8mg for	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4			liraglutide 98.5	liraglutide 94.7
of LEAD-6 14-week extension (total 40 weeks)		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4 BMI (kg/m2): 32.8±5.3; 33.1±5.5	A1C (%)]	98.5 -0.1±0.04	94.7 -0.3±0.04
of LEAD-6 14-week extension		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then 1.2mg x 1 week, then 1.8mg for remainder	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4 BMI (kg/m2): 32.8±5.3; 33.1±5.5 DM duration (years): 7.7±5.6;	A1C (%) A1C < 7% (%)]	98.5 -0.1±0.04 54 to 61	94.7 -0.3±0.04 43 to 57
of LEAD-6 14-week extension (total 40 weeks)		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then 1.2mg x 1 week, then 1.8mg for remainder Background oral agents remained	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4 BMI (kg/m2): 32.8±5.3; 33.1±5.5 DM duration (years): 7.7±5.6; 8.3±5.9	A1C (%) A1C < 7% (%) FPG (mg/dL)]	98.5 -0.1±0.04 54 to 61 -3.6±1.9	94.7 -0.3±0.04 43 to 57 -16.2±2.9
of LEAD-6 14-week extension (total 40 weeks)		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then 1.2mg x 1 week, then 1.8mg for remainder	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4 BMI (kg/m2): 32.8±5.3; 33.1±5.5 DM duration (years): 7.7±5.6; 8.3±5.9 A1C (%): 8.0±0.9; 8.2±1.0	A1C (%) A1C < 7% (%) FPG (mg/dL) Weight (kg)]	98.5 -0.1±0.04 54 to 61 -3.6±1.9 -0.4±0.2 -2.2±0.9	94.7 -0.3±0.04 43 to 57 -16.2±2.9 -0.9±0.2 -3.8±0.8
of LEAD-6 14-week extension (total 40 weeks)		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then 1.2mg x 1 week, then 1.8mg for remainder Background oral agents remained	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4 BMI (kg/m2): 32.8±5.3; 33.1±5.5 DM duration (years): 7.7±5.6; 8.3±5.9 A1C (%): 8.0±0.9; 8.2±1.0 A1C at week 26 (%): 7.2; 7.0	A1C (%) A1C < 7% (%) FPG (mg/dL) Weight (kg) SBP (mmHg) Minor hypoglycemia (events/pt-yr)]	98.5 -0.1±0.04 54 to 61 -3.6±1.9 -0.4±0.2	94.7 -0.3±0.04 43 to 57 -16.2±2.9 -0.9±0.2
of LEAD-6 14-week extension (total 40 weeks)		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then 1.2mg x 1 week, then 1.8mg for remainder Background oral agents remained	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4 BMI (kg/m2): 32.8±5.3; 33.1±5.5 DM duration (years): 7.7±5.6; 8.3±5.9 A1C (%): 8.0±0.9; 8.2±1.0 A1C at week 26 (%): 7.2; 7.0 FPG(mg/dL): 169.2±41.4; 176.4±45	A1C (%) A1C < 7% (%) FPG (mg/dL) Weight (kg) SBP (mmHg) Minor hypoglycemia (events/pt-yr) Mean ± SE		98.5 -0.1±0.04 54 to 61 -3.6±1.9 -0.4±0.2 -2.2±0.9 0.74	94.7 -0.3±0.04 43 to 57 -16.2±2.9 -0.9±0.2 -3.8±0.8 1.3†
of LEAD-6 14-week extension (total 40 weeks)		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then 1.2mg x 1 week, then 1.8mg for remainder Background oral agents remained	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4 BMI (kg/m2): 32.8±5.3; 33.1±5.5 DM duration (years): 7.7±5.6; 8.3±5.9 A1C (%): 8.0±0.9; 8.2±1.0 A1C at week 26 (%): 7.2; 7.0 FPG(mg/dL): 169.2±41.4; 176.4±45 Previous treatment (%)	A1C (%) A1C < 7% (%) FPG (mg/dL) Weight (kg) SBP (mmHg) Minor hypoglycemia (events/pt-yr) Mean ± SE Changes from week 26 to	40 in each tre	98.5 -0.1±0.04 54 to 61 -3.6±1.9 -0.4±0.2 -2.2±0.9 0.74	94.7 -0.3±0.04 43 to 57 -16.2±2.9 -0.9±0.2 -3.8±0.8 1.3†
of LEAD-6 14-week extension (total 40 weeks)		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then 1.2mg x 1 week, then 1.8mg for remainder Background oral agents remained	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4 BMI (kg/m2): 32.8±5.3; 33.1±5.5 DM duration (years): 7.7±5.6; 8.3±5.9 A1C (%): 8.0±0.9; 8.2±1.0 A1C at week 26 (%): 7.2; 7.0 FPG(mg/dL): 169.2±41.4; 176.4±45 Previous treatment (%) Metformin: 27.4; 28	A1C (%) A1C < 7% (%) FPG (mg/dL) Weight (kg) SBP (mmHg) Minor hypoglycemia (events/pt-yr) Mean ± SE Changes from week 26 to comparisons were conduct	0 40 in each tre	98.5 -0.1±0.04 54 to 61 -3.6±1.9 -0.4±0.2 -2.2±0.9 0.74 eatment group. No be	Service 1.3
of LEAD-6 14-week extension (total 40 weeks)		Those on exenatide were switched to liraglutide 0.6mg x 1 week, then 1.2mg x 1 week, then 1.8mg for remainder Background oral agents remained	respectively Male (%): 59.7; 51.5 Age (years): 57.2±10; 56.2±9.4 BMI (kg/m2): 32.8±5.3; 33.1±5.5 DM duration (years): 7.7±5.6; 8.3±5.9 A1C (%): 8.0±0.9; 8.2±1.0 A1C at week 26 (%): 7.2; 7.0 FPG(mg/dL): 169.2±41.4; 176.4±45 Previous treatment (%)	A1C (%) A1C < 7% (%) FPG (mg/dL) Weight (kg) SBP (mmHg) Minor hypoglycemia (events/pt-yr) Mean ± SE Changes from week 26 to	0 40 in each tre	98.5 -0.1±0.04 54 to 61 -3.6±1.9 -0.4±0.2 -2.2±0.9 0.74 eatment group. No be	Service 1.3

Pratley 2010	Inclusions:	1:1:1 stratification	Values for LIR1.2, LIR1.8, and				
R, OL	Type 2 DM	Liraglutide 1.2mg once daily (n=221)	sitagliptin respectively		LIR1.2 +MET	LIR1.8+ MET	SIT+MET
26 weeks	18-80 years old	Liraglutide 1.8mg once daily (n=218)		Completers (%)	76.5	87.6	88.6
N=665	HbA1c 7.5-10%	Sitagliptin 100mg once daily (n=219)	Male (%): 52; 52; 55	A1C (%)	-1.24*	-1.5*	-0.9
	$BMI \le 45 kg/m2$		Age (years): 55.9± 9.6; 55± 9.1; 55±		[-1.37, -1.11]	[-1.631.37]	[-1.03, -0.77]

21

Assessment non- inferiority then by	Treated with metformin \geq 1500mg/day for \geq 3	Added to background metformin	9.0 Weight (kg): 93.7±18.4; 94.6±18.1;	A1C <7% (%) OR vs. SIT	2.75	4.50	
superiority	months	ness ic	93.1±18.9 BMI (kg/m2): 32.6±5.2; 33.1±5.1; 32.6±5.4 DM duration (years): 6.0±4.5; 6.4±5.4; 6.3±5.4 A1C (%): 8.4±0.8; 8.4±0.7; 8.5± 0.7 FPG(mg/dL): 181.8±43.2; 178.2 ±43.2; 180±36	FPG (mg/dL)	-33.7* [-38.9, -28.3]	38.5* [-43.7, -33.1]	-14.9 [-20.3, -9.72]
	Exclusions: Prior treatment with any			Weight (kg)	-2.86* [-3.39, -2.32]	-3.38* [-3.91, -2.84]	-0.96 [-1.5, -0.42]
	hypoglycemic agent other than metformin within 3 months of trial			Waist circumference (cm); SBP (mmHg) DBP (mmHg) Calcitonin (ng/L)	-2.69* [-3.38, -1.99]	-2.63* [-3.33, -1.92]	-1.12 [-1.82, -0.42]
	Recurrent major				-0.55	-0.72	-0.94
	hypoglycemia or				-0.71	0.07	-1.78§
	hypoglycemia unawareness Other drugs that could				Change from baseline similar among across groups		
	affect glucose CI to trial drugs Impaired renal or hepatic function Clinically significant CV disease			*Significant vs. sitagliptin ‡There was no significant difference in waist to hip ratio §Significant vs. LIR 1.8mg			
	Cancer						

June 2010